

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,007	12/30/2003	Seiichi Mizukoshi	86888 (lead) and 86889RLO	6498
Pamela R. Crocker Patent Legal Staff			EXAMINER	
			OSORIO, RICARDO	
Eastman Kodak 343 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			2629	
		, ,		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
31 D	AYS	01/12/2007	PAF	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/748,007	MIZUKOSHI ET AL	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
r	•		1	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	RICARDO L. OSORIO	2629	
Period fo	or Reply	ours on the obver sheet with the	correspondence address –	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 De			
		action is non-final.		
الرد	Since this application is in condition for allowar			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	х ране Quayie, 1935 С.D. 11, 4	53 U.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected.	vn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement		
	on Papers			
	•			
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	annion resto the attached office	7764611 01 1011111 1 0 102.	
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
	application from the International Bureau		· ·	
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment	· ·	.		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/748,007 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 2, 7, and 8, drawn to an OEL display, classified in class 345, subclass76.
 - II. Claims 3-6, drawn to a method of manufacturing an OEL display, classified in class 445, subclass 24.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the OEL display of Invention I can be made by another process, such as etching.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 2629

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricardo L. Osorio whose telephone number is 571-272-7676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala whose telephone number is 571-272-7681.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: 571-273-8300 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window at the Randolph Building, 401, Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RICARDO OSORIO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Technology Division: 2629

RLO

January 7, 2007